

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**Title:** "System and Method for Associating a Destination Document to a Source Document During a Save Process"

**Appellant:** Reiner Kraft

**Attorney Docket No.:** ARC920010034US1

**Serial No.:** 09/825,210

**Examiner:** Nathan Hillary

**Filing Date:** April 2, 2001

**Art Unit:** 2176

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O.Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING APPEAL BRIEF**

Dear Sir:

On November 9, 2006, the Examiner indicated to the undersigned that the USPTO does not have a record of an appeal brief having been filed on July 4, 2006. Upon further investigation, the undersigned discovered that the appeal brief that was supposed to have been filed with the USPTO, electronically, on July 4, 2006, was not in fact entered, possibly due to an error on the part of the undersigned in completing the proper electronic filing steps.

As a result, the undersigned respectfully submits that it was never the intention of the appellant, the assignee, or the undersigned to abandon the application, and requests that this case be revived.

Respectfully submitted,

// Samuel A. Kassatly//

Date: November 10, 2006  
Samuel A. Kassatly Law Office  
20690 View Oaks Way  
San Jose, CA 95120  
Tel.: (408) 323-5111  
Fax: (408) 521-0111

---

Samuel A. Kassatly  
Attorney for Appellant  
Reg. No. 32,247